

Shocking video reveals soiled cloth was used to wash the face of a frail pensioner

THE HSE left an elderly patient in the care of an abusive home carer for several days – even after the carer was caught on video allegedly assaulting the vulnerable woman.

Sickening footage shows the HSEfunded home support worker using a facecloth to wipe herself after

#### EXCLUSIV

By **Michael O'Farrell** INVESTIGATIONS EDITOR urinating, and shortly after using

urinating, and shortly after using the same cloth to clean the fragile woman's face. Other harrowing footage, caught on secret video by a niece of the victim, reveals the carer swearing aggressively at her client and letting her fall roughly back onto a commode. The shocking revelations come amid continuing concern at repeated Government failures to regulate those who care for the elderly and **Turn to Page 4 >>**  <image>

## Neeson's son: Dad hid his grief at mum's death PAGES 44-45

SECOND WAVE FEARS WITH 174 NEW COVID-19 CASES - AND MORE EXPECTED Pages 10-20

#### **SPECIAL INVESTIGATION**

The Irish Mail on Sunday AUGUST 9 • 2020

#### >> From Page 1

most vulnerable in their homes. Law Reform Commission recommendations that the Health Information and Quality Authority be empowered to regulate home care standards, and that carers be registered like other medical employees, has remained on

the shelf since 2011. Meanwhile, there have been mounting concerns about the safety of those being cared for in their homes – as well as the con-ditions of those employed to care for them.

Last night, Hiqa revealed it has been told about 10 home care cases of concern this

The HSE many and the second of the second of

The niece, who is appalled at her experience with the HSE, told the MoS she wanted to speak out to help change the law and protect

#### Higa told of 10 cases, but it has no power to investigate

others. 'There are no words to describe the magnitude of what happened to this woman,' she said. 'She didn't deserve what happened to her. She didn't deserve that. No human being deserves that. The woman can't stick up for herself. She can't see. She can't even stand on her own two feet.' In order to protect the identity of the victim, who remains unaware of what happened to her. the MoS is not naming her or her niece.

her, the MoS is not naming her or her niece. The niece, who has a background in healthcare in the US, travelled to Ireland in February when she became concerned about her elderly aunt's care. In the following months she repeatedly

complained about the standard of care being given by the HSE and All In Care.

complainted using the second of the second o

Where matters are the subject of ongoing review/inquiry, All In Care cannot prejudice outcomes by way of apology,' a spokesman told the MoS this weekend.

MoS this weekend. The company said it would 'unhes-itatingly' apologise 'on conclusion of any such review establishing any duty of care deficiencies'. All In Care said all 'complaints are taken urary conjugit investigated and sa very seriously, investigated and re-

very seriously, investigated and re-ported as a matter or priority to the relevant regulatory authorities'. The spokesman said: 'Given its duty of confidentiality, All In Care cannot comment on individual clients, staff or former staff and those

1 F





constraints substantially limit its ability to defend its good name and exemplary track record, not least in the context of allegations that substantially relate to a former staff member.' The HSE and All In Care launched

investigations but neither inquiry spoke to the carer, or even informed her that her behaviour had been secretly caught on camera. There is currently nothing to stop the carer from taking up another role in the care industry. The MoS understands that All In

Care was unaware of the existence

of a video until June 24, more than a week after the carer had left.

Week after the carer had left. Prior to resigning, the carer had already been the subject of a pre-vious disciplinary process at All In Care, relating to completely sepa-rate concerns about her behaviour.

The carer confirmed this previous disciplinary sanction to the MoS but said it did not relate to client care.

Case records show that the HSE held a multidisciplinary team meeting to discuss the care of the elderly woman on March 12 and that Margaret Campbell, HSE Manager of Services for Older People, Dublin South East, was there. Dissatisfied with the meeting, and still concerned for her aunt,

#### **Both launched inquiries** but didn't speak to carer

the niece installed cameras in her aunt's home on March 19, and informed all parties of the move. This sparked concern amongst

HSE managers and at All In Care.

# Grotesque sequence of shame VIDEO 1: JUNE 4

Arriving for work the carer, wearing a facemask and gloves because of coronavirus, greets her client. The carer proceeds to pull down her own trousers and urinates in a portable commode, as the blind client lies in bed beside her. A lime green facecloth is folded on a basin sitting on a nightstand. The carer would know what the cloth is used for.

As she finishes urinating, the carer looks at the facecloth for a few seconds and then reaches out to take it into her hands.

Standing up, the carer uses the facecloth to wipe her private parts before tossing it into the basin.

Having moved 4 Having moved the client from the bed to the commode, the carer briefly takes the basin (and facecloth) out of the room to fill it with water. She returns and uses the facecloth to wash her client's face. The carer herself, as well as a relative and a friend familiar with the client's care routine have all confirmed there is only one lime green facecloth of this type in the home



'Carers are understandably anxious that there seems to be a cam-

ious that there seems to be a cam-era in the bedroom where they are assisting [name withheld] with per-sonal care,' Ms Campbell wrote in an email to the niece on March 25. But the email praised the 'signifi-cant progress' the niece had made for her elderly relative, saying it had 'made a great difference to her wellbeing'. On March 31, the niece asked All In Care to replace her aunt's carer, but this never happened. This correspondence complained of clothes left soaked in urine, aggressive behaviour and in urine, aggressive behaviour and



continuing concerns about personal care and household chores.

As she became increasingly con-cerned for her aunt, the niece installed a further nanny camera at the end of May. Several days later, on June 4 and 6,

the apparent abuse was recorded. The niece discussed her concerns with the victim's GP and an advocate from the SAGE advocacy group for vulnerable adults, before making a complaint to the HSE on June 10. The complaint was acknowledged in writing by a HSE social work team leader the following day. 'I am mandated to raise these is-sues with the management of the care agency concerned,' the HSE reply reads. 'They will then inves-tigate with their staff and report what their action plan is and what they are doing to safeguard your aunt. The HSE will work in partner-ship with all parties to safeguard

your aunt from further abuse.' your aunt from further abuse.' However, the correspondence also told the niece: 'As the care agency is a private company, contracted by the HSE, we cannot investigate complaints against their staff di-rectly.' This left the carer in place for several days until she resigned, having never been spoken to about

the events caught on video. On July 30, All In Care director Bryan Meldrum informed the niece that the firm had 'taken internal disciplingury action consist the am disciplinary action against the em-ployee concerned'. He wrote: 'In that context, we can

confirm that the employee will not be returning to work with your aunt

in the future. We are satisfied that the matter has now been dealt with

the matter has now been dealt with in the appropriate way.' He never mentioned the fact that the carer had been the subject of previous disciplinary action, unre-lated to this case, or that she had already left her job of her own ac-cord before being questioned about this game.

this case. The HSE continued its investigation, while informing the niece that it was also investigating bullying al-legations against her that appear to

have ben made by the carer. 'They're trying to turn me into a bully or make me a freak because

builty or make me a freak because I put cameras in and caught them,' the niece, who denies any bullying, told the MoS. This week the MoS asked the HSE's Ms Campbell why no one appears to have reported the ap-parent abuse to the gardaí and why no one has apologised. She did not directly answer the question directly answer the question. 'I suppose really, in terms of the

process that we have, the commu-nication has been directly between the provider and client and family through solicitors, she said. The MoS then asked whether it

had yet been deemed appropriate

That yet been deemed appropriate to inform the gardaí. 'It had to go through the process that it would normally go through and it has been explained and re-solved,' Ms Campbell replied.

Asked abut the apparent assault Ms Campbell said: 'That's all being

#### 'Matter has been dealt with in appropriate way'

investigated. It's all gone through due process. The HSE and our safe-guarding team have been involved. There has been huge, huge involve-

There has been huge involve-ment... it's just not as straightfor-ward as it would appear.' To date it remains unclear wheth-er or not the case has been notified to the gardaí, something the HSE and All In Care have not clarified.

The Garda Press Office was un-able to locate any record of a safeguarding notification relating to the case. In response to queries from the MoS, the HSE issued a statement to say it was 'aware of a safeguarding concern raised in resateguarding concern raised in re-spect of a client in receipt of home support', but could not comment on individual cases. 'Our primary con-sideration is the continued care and welfare of the persons at the centre

of these safeguarding concerns. 'The HSE Safeguarding Team were notified and a preliminary screening of the incident was un-dertaken All appropriate measures here been been to be according or with have been taken in accordance with the Safeguarding Vulnerable Adults

policy,' the statement continued. 'The HSE cannot comment on the management of individual employmanagement of individual employ-ees of external agencies, other than to confirm the agency has complied with HSE safeguarding require-ments. The matter is subject to an ongoing investigation under the HSE Incident Management Framework.' The HSE did not address ques-tions on the number of other clients the carer in this case was respon-

the carer, in this case, was respon-sible for and whether families have been informed of the situation.

michaelofarrell@newsscoops.org

THE CARER SPEAKS THE NEED TO IMPLEMENT **REGULATIONS RECOMMENDED 10 YEARS AGO** Pages 6&7

# commode in an apparently rough tashion. 'Why they don't just let us do our work – for God's sake?' **Client:** 'What?' **HCW:** 'Why we can't do our job by ourselves? People have to be interfering in our job. Why that?' **Client:** 'They're not'. **HCW:** (Angrily) 'They are.' **Client:** disagrees Client disagrees HCW: 'But who put the commode here?' Who put the commode here?'

Client: 'I don't know.' HCW: 'You don't know?'

VIDEO 2: JUNE 6

Five minutes later - HCW (dressing client after wash): "Where did she put the pads now, for f\*\*\* sake." Client: 'I don't know.'

5

Neither the HSE nor All In Care questioned carer captured on

#### By Michael O'Farrell

INVESTIGATIONS EDITOR

THIS week the Irish Mail on Sunday spoke at length to the former All In Care employee who was captured on video cleaning her

Who was captured on video cleaning her client's face with a soiled facecloth that she had just used on herself as toilet paper. In a series of phone calls, the carer said she did not know of the video and initially denied the incident completely. 'I never did that. I was looking after [her] so well. I never did that,' she said of her elderly client.'I never used any facecloth on her' obe continued

her, she continued. She also denied swearing aggressively at her client, which was captured in other

when confronted with the precise details of the facecloth incident, the carer then claimed that she could not remember what had happened.

'I don't remember. I don't remember,' she claimed, adding that she got on well with her client, with whom she has been working for five years.

'[She] was very happy with me,' the carer said. '[She] was very, very happy with me to be honest with you.' At this point we asked the carer to address

her actions. 'You can understand – can't you – that to

#### 'Police can't do anything like put me to jail'

clean someone with a cloth like that is not normal. It's not acceptable. It's not safe,' we told her.

we told her. 'Yeah,' she replied. 'But if you are going to put that in the newspaper are you going to mention my name?' Later, in a second phone call, the carer said she had spoken to a solicitor friend. 'About the police my solicitor for tall.

'About the police, my solicitor said to tell you that the police can't do anything,' she told the MoS.

told the MoS. 'If, if it has been proved that I used the toilet or anything like that, the only thing is I would not be able to get more work, that's it,' she said. 'They will fire me from my work because I didn't do my job properly but police can't do anything like put me to jail because of that' che said.

that,' she said.

that,' she said. The carer declined to provide the name of her solicitor friend, saying the solicitor did not want to talk to the MoS. 'She said to me to tell you that I should not be afraid of police or anything like that. Even if I did what you said about the facecloth or anything. I can't be chased about that.' about that.'

The carer said she had been told that the only consequences for her would be that All

In Care could have sacked her. The point appears moot since the carer says that she voluntarily left her job around June 14 and has never been questioned about the videos by anyone from the HSE or All In Care

This appears to raise questions as to the

The MoS understands that the HSE, which has been aware of the video since June 10, did not inform All In Care of its existence with offer the have new number hed

until after the home care worker had left its employ. However, the carer did admit to having been disciplined by All In Care for other matters. 'I got my letter about being disciplined but it was not because of the commede or enthing live that the commode or anything like that. It was not for my care – it was for other things. It was not for that. It

was for other things.' Asked what the letter says she replied: 'It says lots of things, like I was disciplined – but it was nothing with my care and nothing with [the client]. It was just general – you

# 'I love my job. I did not do anything bad. It was just in a moment of frustration. l am sorry'

### WHAT CARER TOLD MoS facecloth is the cloth I am

talking about.

Yes.

lome care worker:

Irish Mail on Sunday: You had a pee - then you

yourself and then a few

the same facecloth.

lome care wor

remember.

minutes later you cleaned [her] face and body with

I don't remember. I don't

used that facecloth to clean

Irish Mail on Sund You know the yellow/lime green facecloth in the house? It sits on the basin all the time.

Home care worker: Yes.

Irish Mail on Sunday: There is one facecloth like that in the whole house. It's the only one.

lome care worker: Yes.

Irish Mail on Sunday: That

know. Something that I shouldn't do. It was not because of a client – in a

It was not because of a cheft – in a client's house or anything like that. It was just outside. 'You know... it was my behaviour, you can put it like that, but was general, you know, it was never with any clients,' she told the MoS.

Asked whether the behaviour she was disciplined for was enough to get fired for, the carer replied: 'Yes

it was, it was,' However, the carer also went on to allege that she herself had been bullied by her client's niece and she had become frustrated with her.

'Yes, I was because my way had been changed,' she said. 'I had my way and she wanted me to do it her way... So I was getting very, very frustrated and I was telling that to All In Care, to remove me from that and they didn't.'

The client's relative has strongly

#### **THE HOME CARE WORKER**

denied these bullying allegations

The was not able to do my job properly to be honest with you,' she told the MoS. The carer – who said that she has

been trained to a Fetac Level 5 standard – added that she used to

#### 'I was very stressed. I didn't like to go there'

enjoy her work with the five All In Care clients that she was responsi-

Care chern's that she was responsi-ble for daily. 'I love my job,' she said. 'I didn't do anything bad. 'It was just in a moment of frustration – things like that. I didn't

have any complaints. However, the carer has also

However, the carer has also claimed that in recent times the intervention of the client's niece had impacted on her wellbeing. 'I was stressed. I was very stressed. I didn't like to go there. And I am happy that I left. I'm happy that I'm gone.' When asked if she was sorry that her frustration had led to the events cantured on video the carer renlied.

captured on video, the carer replied: 'I am sorry. Yes I am.'

michaelofarrell@newsscoops.org

## video wiping an elderly woman's face with a urine-soiled cloth

BOSS: Fo tral hea m owner urphy has made Ilions out of the care industr

# The super-rich owner a fan of Ryanair chief

#### By Michael O'Farrell

INCORPORATED in 2004, All In Care has made millionaires of its owners - Linda and Ray

Murphy from Balrothery in Co. Dublin. Prior to forming the company Ms Murphy – who the Mail on Sunday was told, is on holiday at her Spanish home - and her husband ran a central heating business together.

In interviews Mrs Murphy, who has spoken of being inspired by Ryanair's Michael O'Leary, said

executive paid All In Care more than €10m while the figure for

last year was €7.6m. The firm employs about 300 carers who mind the elderly in their own homes in the greater Dublin area. The firm, which is 80% owned by Mrs Murphy, launched a respite and



convalescent care facility in Drumcondra in 2015. According to its own filed accounts. All In Care currently has €6m in assets and €4m in retained profits.

Together the Murphys received remuneration of more than  $\notin 337,000$  last year and more than  $\notin 361,000$  the previous year. In all, the remuneration

received by Linda and Ray Murphy amounts to more than €3.3m since 2008.

In addition, the accounts show that All In Care pays an annual rent of €90,000 to Mr and Mrs Murphy, while Mrs Murphy has received a €220,000 interest-free loan from the company that, according to the accounts, has

yet to be repaid. Furthermore a software firm, Care Software Solutions, which is half-owned by Mrs Murphy was paid €91,000 by All In Care

last year. All In Care's filed accounts also indicate that at times the firm has been penalised and incurred surcharges for the late filing of tax returns. For example, according to last year's accounts, interest charges on overdue tax

in 2019 amounted to €65,000. According to High Court lists there are currently three personal injury cases listed against All In Care – two of

which were launched this year. The firm did not address the Irish Mail on Sunday's questions about the nature of these cases or the firm's overdue tax issues.

**OWNER OF CARE PROVIDER FIRM** 

#### ALMOST a decade ago – in December 2011 – the Law Reform Commission published a landmark

report on home care. The Commission's report called for the Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA) to be given additional regulatory and inspection powers to allow it to monitor standards across the bare or scatter

home care sector. It also called for a new register of professional carers to be

established.

None of that has yet happened, despite the best efforts of some. The most active champion for regulation has been Fine Gael's Colm Burke.

Colm Burke. In 2014 Mr Burke – then a senator – published The Health (Professional Home Care) Bill. The proposed legislation provided for an 'appropriate

A long time coming – regulation first recommended a decade ago regulatory framework and legal standards to be put in place for

The Bill went nowhere, even after Mr Burke 'press released' the proposed legislation again in 2015.

After the 2016 General Election,

with a new Government and Cabinet in place, Senator Burke resubmitted his Bill and tried

This time his legislation got as far as the Seanad floor for a first reading. 'When a Law Reform

Commission report is brought forward, it is important that it is

not simply left on a shelf,' he told the Seanad.

'I will outline the current position. 'If a person serves prison time

for any offence, there is nothing preventing him or her from setting up, on the morning he or

CHANGE: Fine Gael TD

PUSHING FOR

Colm Burke

she is released from prison, a company that undertakes to to elderly people.' No one in the Seanad that day disagreed – not even Helen McEntee who was then Minister of State at the Department of Health with responsibility for older people. Work on developing an appropriate regulatory system is already well under way,' she assured those

THE CAMPAIGNER

present, before proposing that the Bill be put

on hold for 12 months to allow this work to continue. Four years later the issue has

still not been legislated for, though the Government held a public consultation to help inform policy in 2017/18.

Meanwhile, Colm Burke – now a TD for Cork North Central – is still trying to address the issue

through legislation. Three weeks ago – on July 21 – he introduced the Health (Amendment) (Professional Home Care) Bill 2020 to the Dáil.

The accompanying press release, sent to every news outlet in the state, was widely ignored.

